

## **FERC Lake/Reservoir reviews:**

### **Documentation for review:**

**Same as list used for streamflow records (“Materials to be included for Review”...), but no measurement info needed.**

### Station Analysis

#### Primaries:

Should indicate rating, or ratings, used.

Data corrections should be displayed on primaries or available in separate table with dates and times.

Rating number is noted in station analysis, does it agree with computations?

### Daily Values Table

### Hydrograph

#### Log of visits:

Include readings of all gages: staffs/WWG/tape index/datalogger. (IS vs OS with date/time)

Note valve changes, datum/recorder resets, data logged, other pertinent info

#### Levels:

Field note copy and Level summary. Are they current?

#### Capacity tables:

Computer ratings should be checked with capacity table.

Does USGS have copies or are they available for review?

If a new capacity table is put in use is the date implemented appropriate?

### Station Description

## **For USGS reviewers:**

Is rating in ADAPS; does it agree with provided capacity table and cooperators rating?

Check primary hourly values vs cooperator log and USGS visits information.

Check storage computed by rating(s) in use.

#### Capacity Table:

Does it agree with computer rating used for computations.

Do we think it needs update? Consider 10% accuracy rule on publishing storage.

#### Manuscript/ Station Description:

Storage, usable and total, are they up to date and correct